

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

1.) Claim Amendments

The Applicants have amended claims 1, 10 and 21 and claim 22 has been added. Accordingly, claims 1-22 are pending in the application. Favorable reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

2.) Information Disclosure Statement

The Examiner stated that the Information Disclosure Statement filed on April 26, 2004 does not comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) because copies of the first 8 foreign references listed were not submitted. The Applicants submitted copies of the missing references on October 30, 2006. The Examiner's consideration of these references is respectfully requested.

3.) Claim Rejections – Double Patenting

The Examiner rejected claims 1, 9, 10 and 20 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 10 of copending U.S. Application No. 10/359,772. The present application and U.S. Application No. 10/359,772 are commonly owned by the Assignee, Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson (publ). An assignment, which assigns ownership to the Assignee of U.S. Application No. 10/359,772, was recorded in the USPTO on June 10, 2003, at reel/frame 014170/0367.

The Applicants have filed a Terminal Disclaimer herewith to overcome this rejection. An authorization to charge Deposit Account No. 50-1379 for the Terminal Disclaimer fee under 37 C.F.R. 1.20(d) is enclosed.

4.) Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 101

The Examiner rejected claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claim invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. According to the Examiner, Claim 1 lists "descriptive functional elements....without yielding a concrete, tangible and useful

result." Applicants traverse the rejection as Fig. 1 (and the corresponding description) of the instant application provides a clear basis for the elements and the functionality performed by the invention claimed in claim 1. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Applicants have amended claim 1 to direct the invention toward statutory subject matter. The Examiner's consideration of amended claim 1 is respectfully requested.

Claims 2-8 depend, directly or indirectly, from amended claim 1 and recite further limitations in combination with the novel elements of claim 1. Therefore, the allowance of claims 1-8 is respectfully requested.

5.) Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

The Examiner rejected claims 1-21 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Stewart, et al. (US 2001/0039570). Stewart discloses an enterprise wide electronic commerce system that allows trading partners to act as participants in a complex trading process. Participants communicate with one another by joining conversations that are hosted in a collaboration space and managed by a pluggable collaboration hub. The system includes a hub transport for receiving messages from participants and sending messages to participants, a hub router for routing messages from a first participant to a second participant, a hub scheduler for scheduling the flow of messages between the hub router and the hub transport, a conversation manager for managing the flow of messages between participants, and a repository for storing conversation management data. While Stewart's collaboration system supports B2B infrastructure software, it does not disclose a system for extending and/or modifying functionality of a platform for a mobile terminal of a wireless telecommunications system. The Applicants have amended independent claims 1 and 10 to better distinguish the claimed invention from Stewart. The Examiner's consideration of the amended claims is respectfully requested.

Claims 2-9 depend, directly or indirectly, from amended claim 1 and recite further limitations in combination with the novel elements of claim 1. Claims 11-21 depend, directly or indirectly, from amended claim 10 and recite further limitations in combination with the novel elements of claim 10. Therefore, the allowance of claims 1-21 is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks, the Applicants believe all of the claims currently pending in the Application to be in a condition for allowance. The Applicants, therefore, respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw all rejections and issue a Notice of Allowance for claims 1-22.

The Applicants request a telephonic interview if the Examiner has any questions or requires any additional information that would further or expedite the prosecution of the Application.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael Cameron
Registration No. 50,298

Date: Dec. 7, 2006

Ericsson Inc.
6300 Legacy Drive, M/S EVR 1-C-11
Plano, Texas 75024

(972) 583-4145
michael.cameron@ericsson.com